

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,297	02/27/2004	Hao Xue	51085-6 /slb	6561	
7380 SMART & BIO	7380 7590 03/15/2007 SMART & BIGGAR			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2999, STATION D 900-55 METCALFE STREET OTTAWA, ON K1P5Y6			NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA	,			2618	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		03/15/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/787,297	XUE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan H. Nguyen	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period o	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 D  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 and 25 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Education is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No od in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/05/2006 with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-4, 10-11, and 20-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ericsson, Motorola, Siemens, Nokia Technical Specification (Push to Talk

Art Unit: 2618

over Cellular (PoC); User Requirements; PoC Release 1.0; User Requirements V 1.1.1 (2003-10) hereinafter, "Ericsson").

Consider claims 1 and 10, Ericsson teaches a talk request processing in a donot-disturb (DnD) capable communication system, comprising: receiving a talk request
for a requested walkie-talkie-like (PoC) communications session involving a user device
capable of walkie-talkie-like functionality (page 13 paragraph 5.10 Access List
management e.g., on the reject list the user maintains users and/or groups from whom
the user does not accept to receive instant talk session requests); and selectively
overriding DnD functionality for the requested walkie-talkie-like communications session
(page 13 paragraph 5.8 Do-Not-Disturb e.g., a user shall be able to easily activate and
deactivate the DnD function) based on an ignoreDnD attribute for the user device
applied to a crieterion of the talk request (page 17 paragraph 6.2.1.1 Inviting User e.g.,
the system shall check the inviting user registered, incoming session are not blocked
(read on ignoreDnD) for any reason when DnD is active).

Consider claim 20, Ericsson teaches a user device capable of walkie-talkie-like functionality for a do-not-disturb (DnD) capable communication system, the user device comprising: a user interface adapted to accept an external input (inviting user) to modify an ignoreDnD attribute for the user device, the ignoreDnD attribute concerning a criterion of a talk request; an ignoreDnD attribute request generator responsive to said external input adapted to send a network call processing server a request to update the

ignoreDnD attribute of the user device (page 17 pargraph 6.2.1.1 Inviting User e.g., the system shall check the inviting user registered (read on update the ignoreDnD), incoming session are not blocked (read on ignoreDnD) for any reason when DnD is active).

Consider claims 2, 11, and 21, Ericsson further teaches the user device is a wireless device (page 11 paragraph 5.3).

Consider claim 3, Ericsson further teaches the ignoreDnD attribute comprises an ignoreDnD flag, and wherein selectively overriding said DnD functionality is a function of the ignoreDnD flag (page 13 paragraph 5.10 Access List Management).

Consider claim 4, Ericsson further teaches the ignoreDnD attribute comprises at least one predetermined ignore reason value, and wherein selectively overriding said DnD functionality is a function of the at least one predetermined ignore reason value (page 6 [0078]).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/787,297

Art Unit: 2618

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 5-9, 12-19, 22-23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ericsson, Motorola, Siemens, Nokia Technical Specification (Push to Talk over Cellular (PoC); User Requirements; PoC Release 1.0; User Requirements V 1.1.1 (2003-10) hereinafter, "Ericsson") in view of Griffiths (U.S PUB. 2002/0186827).

Consider claim 5, Ericsson teaches the ignoreDnD attribute comprises at least one predetermined ignore reason value, and wherein selectively overriding said DnD functionality is a function of the at least one predetermined ignore reason value.

Ericsson does not explicitly show that teaches the talk request has a current state associated therewith, the current state being one of a number of possible current states for the talk request, wherein each predetermined ignore reason value represents a corresponding possible current state for the talk request, the method further comprising: evaluating the ingoreDnD flag to determine whether further ignoreDnD processing is to be performed and if evaluating the ignoreDnD flag determines that further ignoreDnD processing is to be performed: a) determining the current state of the talk request; and b) for each of the at least one predetermined ignore reason value: i) comparing the corresponding possible current state with the current state of the talk request; wherein said DnD functionality is overridden if any corresponding possible current state matches the current state of the talk request.

In the same field of endeavor, Griffiths teaches teaches the talk request has a current state associated therewith, the current state being one of a number of possible

Art Unit: 2618

current states for the talk request, wherein each predetermined ignore reason value represents a corresponding possible current state for the talk request, the method further comprising: evaluating the ingoreDnD flag to determine whether further ignoreDnD processing is to be performed (page 6 [0078]), and if evaluating the ignoreDnD flag determines that further ignoreDnD processing is to be performed: a) determining the current state of the talk request (page 4 [0054]); and b) for each of the at least one predetermined ignore reason value: i) comparing the corresponding possible current state with the current state of the talk request; wherein said DnD functionality is overridden if any corresponding possible current state matches the current state of the talk request (page 6 [0078]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, the talk request has a current state associated therewith, the current state being one of a number of possible current states for the talk request, wherein each predetermined ignore reason value represents a corresponding possible current state for the talk request, the method further comprising: evaluating the ingoreDnD flag to determine whether further ignoreDnD processing is to be performed and if evaluating the ignoreDnD flag determines that further ignoreDnD processing is to be performed: a) determining the current state of the talk request; and b) for each of the at least one predetermined ignore reason value: i) comparing the corresponding possible current state with the current state of the talk request; wherein said DnD functionality is overridden if any corresponding possible current state matches the current state of the talk request, as taught by Griffiths, in order to implement a call

administration service, permitting both interactive and automatic denial and routing of calls, under the direction of subscriber provisioning.

Consider claims 6 and 15, Griffiths further teaches each possible current state of the number of possible current states for the talk request is a priority state of the talk request at the time it was received (page 3 [0035]).

Consider claims 7 and 16, Griffiths further teaches the ignoreDnD flag comprises a boolean value, and wherein: evaluating the ingoreDnD flag comprises identifying the boolean values and comparing the boolean value to a predetermined boolean value indicative of whether further processing is to be performed (page 2 [0017]).

Consider claims 8 and 17, Griffith further teaches the number of possible current states for the talk request comprise at least one of "urgent", and "emergency" (page 1 [0005]).

Consider claims 9 and 18, Ericsson further teaches maintaining the ignoreDnD attribute for a plurality of user devices as a function of inputs received from the user devices (page 17 paragraph 6.2.1.1).

Consider claim 12, Ericsson further teaches the network call function comprises: a data store adapted to store the ignoreDnD attribute for the user device (page 11

Art Unit: 2618

paragraph 5.2); a DnD processing function adapted to provide DnD functionality (page 11 paragraph 5.2); and an ingnoreDnD processing function adapted to override DnD functionality for the requested communications session as a function of the ignoreDnD attribute stored in the data store for the user device (page 17 paragraph 6.2.1.1).

Consider claim 13, Griffiths further teaches the ignoreDnD attribute comprises an ignoreDnD flag and at least one predetermined ignore reason value, and wherein the ignoreDnD processing function selectively overrides said DnD functionality as a function of the ignoreDnD flag and the at least one predetermined ignore reason value (page 6 [0078]).

Consider claim 14, Griffiths further teaches the talk request has a current state associated therewith, the current state being one of a number of possible current states for the talk request, wherein each predetermined ignore reason value represents a corresponding possible current state for the talk request, wherein the ignoreDnD processing function: retrieves the ignoreDnD attribute from the data store (page 6 [0070]); and evaluates the ingoreDnD flag to determine whether further ignoreDnD processing is to be performed, and if evaluating the ignoreDnD flag determines that further ignoreDnD processing is to be performed: a) determines the current state of the talk request (page 4 [0054]); and b) for each of the at least one predetermined ignore reason value: i) compares the corresponding possible current state with the current state of the talk request (page 6 [0078]); wherein said DnD functionality is overridden if

any corresponding possible current state matches the current state of the talk request (page 6 [0078]).

Consider claim 19, Griffiths further teaches a talk request processing system in the form of a call processing server (page 9 [0109]).

Consider claim 22, Griffiths further teaches a user interface display for displaying modifications for the ignoreDnD attribute indicated by the external input (page 6 [0075]).

Consider claim 23, Griffiths further teaches the user interface is further adapted to accept an external query input to retrieve an ignoreDnD attribute for the user device, the ignore DnD attribute request generator is further adapted to: (a) send a network call processing server a query to retrieve the ignoreDnD attribute of the user device (page 6 [0070]); and b) receive a response to said query from the network; wherein the user interface display is adapted to, in response to the ignoreDnD attribute request generator receiving the response to said query, display the ignoreDnD attribute for the user device (page 4 [0053]).

Consider claim 12, Ericsson further teaches a computer readable medium having computer executable instructions stored thereon for execution on a processor (page 13 paragraph 5.10 Group List Management e.g., Group List Management shall allow the user equipment (computer) to reliably store and retrieve the groups located in the Group

Application/Control Number: 10/787,297 Page 10

Art Unit: 2618

List Management server).

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop\_\_\_\_\_ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Application/Control Number: 10/787,297 Page 11

Art Unit: 2618

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

**Customer Service Window** 

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571)272-7882882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2618

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ل بهر